

1	Karaa D Hanne	Poorn M. Hyorma
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California	ROGER M. HUGHES WENDEL ROSEN BLACK & DEAN LLP
2	MICHAEL W. JORGENSON Supervising Deputy Attorney General	1111 Broadway St., 24 th Floor Oakland, CA 94607
3	TRACE MAIORINO Deputy Attorney General	Telephone: (510) 622-7672 Fax: (510) 808-4732
4	SCOTT J. FEUDALE Deputy Attorney General	Email: rhughes@wendel.com Attorneys for Plaintiff P. Gomez
5	State Bar No. 242671 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000	inorneys for I tuning I. Gomez
6	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	
7	Telephone: (415) 703-5871 Fax: (415) 703-5843	• .
8	E-mail: Scott.Feudale@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendants R. Mills and D. Quam	
9		
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13		
14	PEDRO GOMEZ,	C 08-2969 MMC (PR)
17	TEDRO GOMEZ,	C 00 2505 WHVIC (110)
1.5	Digintiff	STIDIII ATION AND ODDED TO
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY
16	Plaintiff, v.	
16 17		EXTEND NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF FOR LIMITED PURPOSE
16	v.	EXTEND NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF FOR LIMITED PURPOSE
16 17	v. DOCTOR MERLE SOGGE, et al., Defendants.	EXTEND NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF FOR LIMITED PURPOSE OF TAKING DEPOSITIONS
16 17 18	v. DOCTOR MERLE SOGGE, et al., Defendants.	EXTEND NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF FOR LIMITED PURPOSE
16 17 18 19	v. DOCTOR MERLE SOGGE, et al., Defendants. On January 13, 2012, the Court issued a part of the cour	EXTEND NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF FOR LIMITED PURPOSE OF TAKING DEPOSITIONS
16 17 18 19 20	v. DOCTOR MERLE SOGGE, et al., Defendants. On January 13, 2012, the Court issued a part of the cour	EXTEND NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF FOR LIMITED PURPOSE OF TAKING DEPOSITIONS oretrial preparation order designating the non- During the course of discovery, Defendants have
16 17 18 19 20 21	DOCTOR MERLE SOGGE, et al., Defendants. On January 13, 2012, the Court issued a perpert discovery cutoff date as March 30, 2012.	EXTEND NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF FOR LIMITED PURPOSE OF TAKING DEPOSITIONS oretrial preparation order designating the non- During the course of discovery, Defendants have nt inmate-witnesses are needed to adequately
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DOCTOR MERLE SOGGE, et al., Defendants. On January 13, 2012, the Court issued a perpert discovery cutoff date as March 30, 2012. determined that the depositions of three percipier	EXTEND NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF FOR LIMITED PURPOSE OF TAKING DEPOSITIONS During the course of discovery, Defendants have at inmate-witnesses are needed to adequately however, Defendants have learned that these
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DOCTOR MERLE SOGGE, et al., Defendants. On January 13, 2012, the Court issued a percent discovery cutoff date as March 30, 2012. determined that the depositions of three percipier prepare this case for trial. After further research,	EXTEND NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF FOR LIMITED PURPOSE OF TAKING DEPOSITIONS During the course of discovery, Defendants have at inmate-witnesses are needed to adequately however, Defendants have learned that these
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DOCTOR MERLE SOGGE, et al., Defendants. On January 13, 2012, the Court issued a pexpert discovery cutoff date as March 30, 2012. determined that the depositions of three percipier prepare this case for trial. After further research, witnesses are either incarcerated at a different prelocations throughout the state.	EXTEND NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF FOR LIMITED PURPOSE OF TAKING DEPOSITIONS During the course of discovery, Defendants have at inmate-witnesses are needed to adequately however, Defendants have learned that these
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	DOCTOR MERLE SOGGE, et al., Defendants. On January 13, 2012, the Court issued a pexpert discovery cutoff date as March 30, 2012. determined that the depositions of three percipier prepare this case for trial. After further research, witnesses are either incarcerated at a different prelocations throughout the state.	EXTEND NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF FOR LIMITED PURPOSE OF TAKING DEPOSITIONS Directrial preparation order designating the non-During the course of discovery, Defendants have not inmate-witnesses are needed to adequately however, Defendants have learned that these ison or have been released from custody at March 28-30, 2012 to take the depositions of
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	DOCTOR MERLE SOGGE, et al., Defendants. On January 13, 2012, the Court issued a percent discovery cutoff date as March 30, 2012. determined that the depositions of three percipier prepare this case for trial. After further research, witnesses are either incarcerated at a different prelocations throughout the state. The parties will be in Crescent City from	EXTEND NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF FOR LIMITED PURPOSE OF TAKING DEPOSITIONS During the course of discovery, Defendants have at inmate-witnesses are needed to adequately however, Defendants have learned that these ison or have been released from custody at March 28-30, 2012 to take the depositions of Martinez and attend a settlement conference in

Stip. & Order Ext. Non-Expert Disc. Cutoff (C 08-2969 MMC (PR))

1	current discovery period. Moreover, Plaintiff's counsel is unable to attend the inmate-witness	
2	depositions in April because he will be preparing for and participating in a three-week trial in	
3	Contra Costa County.	
4	Accordingly, the parties stipulate to a five-week extension of time of the non-expert	
5	discovery cutoff, up to and including May 11, 2012, for the limited purpose of taking the	
6	depositions of witnesses Preston Villines, Guillermo Ruiz, and Jose Gonzalez.	
7		
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
9		
10	Dated: 3/27/12 Roger Hughes, Esq.	
.11	Attorney for Plaintiff Gomez	
.12		
13	Dated: 9/27/12	
14	Scott J. Feudale Deputy Attorney General	
15	Attorney for Defendants Mills and	
16	Quam	
17		
18	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:	
19		
20	DATED: March 28, 2012 March 28, 2012	
21	United States District Judge	
22		
23	SF2009201768 20575466.doc	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	